UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,286	03/25/2004	Ralph L. Holzhaus II	7924-A-2	2168	
Jordan M. Meschkow Meschkow & Gresham, PLC Suite 409 5727 North Seventh Street Phoenix, AZ 85014			EXAMINER  JOHNSON, JERROLD D		
					ART UNIT
			3728		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
2 MONTUS		04/17/2007	DAE	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Gr.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Antique Commence	10/811,286	HOLZHAUS, RALPH L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jerrold Johnson	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by straining the second part of the maximum statutory period for reply will, by straining the second period for reply will, by straining the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		• •	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on te	elephonic election dated 13 /	<i>April 2007</i> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 16-18 is/a  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13,15 and 19-21 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	re withdrawn from considera	ation.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawin	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2 Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in	annication No	

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Atta	chm	ent	(s
------	-----	-----	----

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 25 March 2004.

4) [	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) [	Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Figs 1-8; Figs. 9 and 10; Figs. 11 and 12; Figs. 13 and ; Figs. and 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

During a telephone conversation with Jordon Meschkow on 13 April 2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Figs. 1-8, claims 1-13, 15, 19 and 20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14 and 16-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,286

Art Unit: 3728

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,4,5 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeLaura et al. US 4,384,604.

Re claims 1 and 19-21 DeLaura discloses the containment system comprising the open-ended flexible cylindrical tube (see Fig. 2), first closure device 18, and second closure device 14.

Re claim 2, note the polymeric material (polyethylene plastic).

Re claims 4 and 5, note the drawstring/hem arrangement at both ends of the cylindrical tube.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLaura et al. US 4,384,604 in view of Blackburn et al. US 4,799,520 and/or Baumler US 5,323,558 and Examiner Official Notice. DeLaura does not disclose coated paper.

Blackburn in col. 5 discusses different materials such as paper, fabric and plastic sheet material that are suitable for a containment system for a Christmas tree.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,286

Art Unit: 3728

Blackburn does not disclose that the paper is coated to be resistant to moisture, but it is clear from Blackburn that there are numerous materials that are suitable (sufficient strength) for the containment system of this type. Baumler in Col. 6, line 45 describes the material for the containment system to be "moisture tight", and in col. 6, line 58 through col. 7 line 6 also describes the myriad of materials that may be used, including paper.

Coated paper is notoriously well known in this art to be both high in strength and moisture proof, and the Examiner takes Official Notice of this fact.

Accordingly, with the teachings of Blackburn and Baumler in mind, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the suitability of coated paper, among other materials, as possessing the necessary strength and moisture resistance necessary for a containment system of this type.

Claims 6-9, 11,12, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLaura et al. US 4,384,604 in view of Cicero US 2,616,467, Falk US 2003/0159958 and Examiner Official Notice.

DeLaura discloses in col. 2, lines 34 and 35 the desire of carrying a tree in the containment system without dropping needles. DeLaura does not disclose end pieces.

Cicero discloses a containment system bag with drawstrings where a flexible end piece 32 is disposed proximate to an opening to prevent the contents of the bag from passing out the opening (col. 2, lines 1-3).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,286

Art Unit: 3728

The Examiner takes Official Notice that substantially circular end pieces in bags having a drawstring closure are notoriously commonplace, and have been notoriously commonplace for at least 20 years. The Examiner personally has at least 4 cylindrical bags of this type that have drawstrings with circular end pieces. The bags are storage bags for outdoor related gear: tents and sleeping bags. Circular shaped end pieces are the logical choice for bags that are cylindrical and have circular openings, as the shape of the end piece matches that of the opening as well as the cross-section of the bag.

With respect to the material of the end piece being of a different material than the remaining portion of the containment system, Falk provides the necessary teaching that the end portion of a containment system that is under the most stress should be of a reinforced material.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the containment system of DeLaura with an end piece of flexible material, and to make that end piece from a material having a suitable strength (such as a stronger reinforced material as suggested by Falk) for the stresses it will need to withstand.

Claims 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLaura et al. US 4,384,604 in view of Moore US 5,590,775.

DeLaura does not disclose a handle.

Moore discloses such a handle for the ease of transport of the containment system.

Art Unit: 3728

Accordingly, it would have be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the containment system of DeLaura with a handle.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerrold Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-7141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 to 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mickéý Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700